

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/840,084	04/24/2001	Kyu-Hwang Chung	P56366	2169
75	590 04/02/2004		EXAM	INER
Robert E. Bushnell			DUONG, THOI V	
Suite 300 1522 K Street, I	N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, D			2871	
			DATE MAILED: 04/02/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
۶۰,		••
Advisory Action	09/840,084	CHUNG, KYU-HWANG
•	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thoi V Duong	2871
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 03 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE THEORY FILED 03 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THEORY FOR THE THEORY FILED 03 MARCH 1113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a hplaces the application in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	ng date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7	of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furthe	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject action.	tion(s): claims 3 and 11 which w	ere objected in the last office
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed: 3,11 and 17-20.		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-10 and 12-16</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	· 	
10. Other:	(A)	
		TARIFUR R. CHOWDHURY PRIMARY EXAMINED

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed limitations "a reinforcement connector connected to and formed with said ground portion" recited in claim 1 and "a reinforcement connector provided on said printed circuit board" recited in claim 9 raise new issues that would require further consideration and search.